
From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2018 8:26 AM
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Review Changes in the Draft-Final Parcel G Work Plan
Attachments: Regulator RTCs_Parcel G Work Plan_101118_rev102618.docx

Good morning, I also updated the RTCs to clarify the EPA General Comment 10 response per Sean-Ryan's email below.

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 2:59 PM
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Subject: RE: Review Changes in the Draft-Final Parcel G Work Plan

Hi Danielle,

I pulled the comments below into the attached latest edited/formatted work plan versions. Just wanted to pass these along in case you want to use these for your review.

Have a good weekend!

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 11:16 AM
To: Sean-Ryan McCray <Sean-Ryan.McCray@redhorsecorp.com>
Cc: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Review Changes in the Draft-Final Parcel G Work Plan

Thank you! FYA, formatting gets stripped on our government emails. It seems like you put it in a table format, but got changed. Can you forward the comments to Kim Henderson, cc'd, with the right formatting?

V/r,

Danielle Janda
(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Sean-Ryan McCray <Sean-Ryan.McCray@redhorsecorp.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 10:52 AM
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Review Changes in the Draft-Final Parcel G Work Plan

Danielle,

Per your request, I have thoroughly scanned the Navy's regulatory comments for all the instances we said we would make changes to the Draft-Final for Parcel G in order to confirm said changes were made.

Based upon my document review I have the following review comments / concerns to be addressed:

Regulatory Comment

Draft Review Notes and Location

USEPA General Comment 10

Navy's comment to the USEPA states that "Example scan MDC calculations have been added to the work plan for both Cs-137 and Ra-226 in Section 3.5."

Unable to locate / confirm the location of the scan MDC calculations for Cs-137 in Section 3.5. Please note that these equations and general scanning process is new to me so I may have just missed it, but I wanted to include the note to have you double check / confirm it's location.

USEPA General Comment 12 (b)

USEPA's comment states, "EPA appreciates the Navy's commitment to consult with a USGS Cs-137 expert in this process and in the field during sample collection. Please include this in the next version of the Work Plan and provide any comments from that expert in the eventual report that will be prepared about the sampling results."

Please ensure that the USGS Cs-137 expert is expressly named in this version of the Work Plan or the Soil RBA, per USEPA's request.

USEPA General Comment 12 (b) and (d)

Navy's comment to the USEPA states that "Text has been added to the Soil Reference Background Area Work Plan to describe the number of samples calculation for the RBAs. Twenty-five surface and 25 subsurface soil samples will be collected from the offsite location (tentatively within the 312.5-acre McLaren Park)."

Please ensure that these numbers are consistent throughout the document and associated tables.

SDPH Specific Comment 8

SDPH comment states "Although it might be difficult, is there a percent that can be attached to the report of "disintegrated" pipes so the sub-bullet can have that clarified?

Do we need to include / add a comment to address the assumption that a percentage of disintegrated pipes is assumed to be negligible? Or is our comment to SDPH enough here?

Please let me know if you have any questions and/or concerns.

Best,

Sean-Ryan McCray

Remedial Project Manager
Redhorse Corporation
1370 India Street, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92101
W:(619) 524.5322

C:(619) 507-2949

Sean-Ryan.McCray@redhorsecorp.com <mailto:Sean-Ryan.McCray@redhorsecorp.com>

www.redhorsecorp.com <https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A__www.redhorsecorp.com_&d=DwIFAg&c=OgZOSER8c1RLeytEexU279Q2qk0jVwkrOdYe5iSi-
kk&r=9cubkdMIWglQZf0gL4NMkMDtt8UCXOv-ZVWBAEzNWOW&m=6eiPAWfWzCEBpEJ-
p42XqCyHHMnnfRIwyitBLLFKAJM&s=rf7AOXbkzeEMgOtVLEVkci27G2Shzv_7udVye7T37eM&e=>

<https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A__www.redhorsecorp.com_&d=DwIFAg&c=OgZOSER8c1RLeytEexU279Q2qk0jVwkrOdYe5iSi-
kk&r=9cubkdMIWglQZf0gL4NMkMDtt8UCXOv-ZVWBAEzNWOW&m=6eiPAWfWzCEBpEJ-
p42XqCyHHMnnfRIwyitBLLFKAJM&s=rf7AOXbkzeEMgOtVLEVkci27G2Shzv_7udVye7T37eM&e=>

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 4:33:59 PM
To: Sean-Ryan McCray
Subject: Review Changes in the Draft-Final Parcel G Work Plan

Hi SR,

Attached is 1) a pdf of the responses to regulator comments on the Parcel G Work Plan; 2) the revised text (almost) ready-to-print for the Draft-Final Work Plan; and 3) the revised text (almost) ready-to-print for the Draft-Final Background Reference Area Work Plan.

Can you please scan the responses for all the instances where we said we would make changes to the Draft and confirm that we made those changes? I believe you already have a copy of the draft.

Let me know if you have any questions or need copies of figures or anything else.

Thank you!

V/r,

Danielle Janda

Environmental Engineer

NAVFAC Southwest

Navy BRAC PMO West

33000 Nixie Way

Bldg 50, 2nd Floor

San Diego, CA 92147

Phone: 619-524-6041

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)